

FSC Controlled Wood Due Diligence System Public Summary

Note: Guidance on how to use this document is in grey italic font and should be deleted from the final version

1. General information

Organisation name:	UAB GAIRELITA
FSC certificate code:	<u>NC-COC-022964</u>
Organisation's DDS contact person:	Mr. Kestutis Burdulis
DDS prepared/assisted by:	Mr. Kestutis Burdulis
Date last reviewed/updated (by the organisation):	1.May 2020

1. Suppliers

Total number of non-certified suppliers ~29

Participating site	Non-certified material type sourced	Exact number of suppliers	Supplier type(s)	Average no. of tiers in the supply chains	Approximate or exact number of sub-suppliers
<i>Name of organisation's site. All applicable sites shall be included.</i>	<i>Describe the type of product supplied e.g. logs, sawn logs, chips, wood pulp, etc.</i>	<i>Number of suppliers directly supplying material to the site</i>	<i>E.g. Forest management enterprise, Broker/trader without physical possession, Primary processor, Secondary processor, Distributor/wholesaler.</i>	<i>Average number of organisations within the supply chains, from forest to suppliers.</i>	<i>Total number of organisations that are sub-suppliers (indirect suppliers, or suppliers of your direct suppliers) within all supply chains</i>
UAB GAIRELITA Zironu 12, LT-82043, Radviliskis, Lithuania	Wood industry residues/ Chips	~11 non- certified suppliers (primary processing) ~18 non- certified suppliers (secondary processing) ~7 certified suppliers (primary processing) ~5 certified suppliers (secondary processing)	Gairelita UAB direct procurement from sawmills, without intermediation	1-3 (Logging - Roundwood supplier-> Delivery for Sawmill-> Gairelita UAB)	~ 2-~ 4

	Wood industry residues/ Sawdust (Wet)		Gairelite direct procurement from sawmills, without intermediation	1-3 (Logging - Roundwood supplier-> Delivery for Sawmill-> Gairelita UAB)	
--	--	--	--	---	--

3. Supply areas

Supply area	Controlled wood category	Reference to risk assessment used	Risk designation
<i>The description should allow the identification of an area with a homogeneous risk designation in the applicable risk assessment for each controlled wood category. This is a geographic description (including country of origin) and may also include a functional scale/source type, where the risk assessment differentiates risk based on characteristics such as type of forest (e.g. natural forest or plantation), ownership (e.g. state or private-owned), etc.</i>		<i>If an NRA or CNRA is used, include the document title on FSC Document Centre. E.g. the title for the CNRA for Poland is “FSC-CNRA-PL V1-1” (see https://ic.fsc.org/en/document-center/id/238).</i> <i>If a company risk assessment or extended company risk assessment is used, write this and refer to the Annex containing the risk assessment.</i>	<i>Select the relevant risk designation for the supply area and controlled wood category from the drop-down menu.</i>
Lithuania	1	1.11; 1.21;	Specified risk .
	2		Low risk
	3	3.3	Specified risk.
	4		Low risk
	5		Low risk
Latvia	1	1.11.	Specified risk
	2		Low risk
	3	3.1.; 3.3; 3.6.	Specified risk
	4		Low risk
	5		Low risk
	1		Low risk
	2		Low risk
	3		Low risk
	4		Low risk
	5		Low risk

4. Risk assessment and mitigation

4.a Risk mitigation for the origin of the material

Copy the table for each supply area. Add information about control measures for each indicator that is designated **specified or unspecified risk** in the relevant risk assessment (**deleting rows for indicators that are low risk or aren't found in the applicable risk assessment**) and complete the table.

If you only source from low risk areas, delete the table and state **“N/A, all supply areas are low risk”**.

Supply area:		
Indicator	Control Measures	Findings from field verification if undertaken as a control measure
<i>Number of the indicators designated specified or unspecified risk in the applicable risk assessment. Note that the number of applicable indicators will change depending on the type of risk assessment used, and not all will be applicable to company risk assessments and 'old' national risk assessments.</i>	<i>Describe the control measures implemented to mitigate the risk and describe their desired outcome. Describe the activities conducted to verify the effectiveness of the control measures. Include information on the cycle (how often you conduct verification), number of audits, justification of sampling intensity, and the key results of the audits. If you found non-conformities, state steps taken to address them.</i>	<i>Summarise findings, if field verification was conducted. Describe steps taken to address any non-conformities found, unless confidential. If information is deemed confidential and not published, provide a justification for this.</i>
Controlled wood category 1. Illegally harvested wood		
1.1		
1.2		
1.3		
1.4		
1.5		
1.6		
1.7		
1.8		
1.9		
1.10		
1.11	<i>Please see Lithuanian and Latvian CNRA</i>	<i>Presented in procedures, all information on supplies is confidential. Available in the company on request</i>
1.12		
1.13		
1.14		
1.15		
1.16		
1.17		
1.18		
1.19		
1.20		
1.21	<i>Please see Lithuanian CNRA</i>	<i>Presented in procedures, all information on supplies is confidential. Available in the company on request</i>
Controlled wood category 2. Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights		
2.1		
2.2		
2.3		

2.4		
2.5		
Controlled wood category 3. Wood from forests in which high conservation values are threatened by management activities		
3.1	<i>Please see Latvian CNRA</i>	
3.2		
3.3	<i>Please see Lithuanian and Latvian CNRA</i>	<i>Presented in procedures, all information on supplies is confidential. Available in the company on request.</i>
3.4		
3.5		
3.6	<i>Please see Latvian CNRA</i>	<i>Presented in procedures, all information on supplies is confidential. Available in the company on request</i>
Controlled wood category 4. Wood from forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use		
4.1		
Controlled wood category 5. Wood from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted		
5.1		

4.b Risk assessment and mitigation for mixing in the supply chain

During in audit visiting in a sawmill, the company requests all CAs for the material delivery period. The company maintains a CA registry that evaluates potential habitats from the LatBio in LV, biotop mapping list -in Latvia Ozols data base and Lithuania public database.

All sections are evaluated, audited in the field with the indication, “Possibly protected forest habitat or environmental restrictions.

The audits use evaluation forms approved by experts. Contacting sawmill suppliers for additional information on areas and habitat identification in the wild. If there are signs or indicator species of potential habitats in the compartments, initial communication with experts is made and information is sent. If the expert confirms the need to visit the area, the company takes the necessary steps to attract the expert. In areas where there are risks to potential habitats, the company informs the supplier that the supply of such wood is prohibited.

Occupational Safety is evaluated for all delivery companies throughout the year who use the manual team for logging. For DD field tests, a questionnaire system of five points is used. If the score is less than 3, the supplier shall be aware of any discrepancies found in logging. Additional audits are performed. If DD inconsistencies are re-detected, the company stops purchasing wood.

Participating site	Supply chain type	No. of tiers	Risk of mixing	Control measures	Findings from field verification if undertaken as a control measure
UAB GAIRELITA Zironu 12, LT-82043,	1-3 (Logging - Roundwood supplier-> Delivery for Sawmill-> Gairelita UAB)	<i>The supply of roundwood to sawmills is 1 or 2 chain steps. All chains are traceable to wood-based</i>	The wood residue is not mixed In during audit of suppliers, roundwood processors, all provide information on wood	<i>Inspections are carried out at the supplier once a year, provided the documents are available and the risks are measurable. Otherwise, delivery is discontinued. Obtain access to documents. The</i>	<i>Origin documents are available to all suppliers. The elaboration analysis for habitats and DD rays has not been identified, or they</i>

Radviliskis, Lithuania		<p><i>documents</i></p> <p>The supply of roundwood to sawmills is 1 or 2 chain link cases. All chains are traceable to the origin of the wood</p>	<p>origin at FMU level. All the information obtained is compared in the Habitats Register.</p>	<p><i>number of suppliers and the number of FMUs of wood. Sampling the formula by reading the delivery documents CA. CA for suppliers is proportionally assessed at the level of forest areas, as well as audits for logging teams</i></p> <p>Checks are carried out with the supplier 1x per year, provided that documentation is available and risks are assessed. Otherwise delivery will be interrupted. Obtained access to documents. Identified number of suppliers and number of FMUs of timber origin. Randomly applying the formula reads the delivery documents in CA. CA assignments to suppliers are subject to proportional assessment at forest level level, including audits to logging teams</p>	<p><i>have been provided with additional information and guidance in relation to the section and tax data. Most logging companies work with forestry equipment. Hand crews meet DD requirements.</i></p>

5. Technical experts used in the development of control measures

List all technical experts used for developing control measures.

If none were required or used, delete table and write **“N/A, technical experts were not required”**.

Name	License/Registration #	Qualification	Scope of service	Source of information
			<i>State the relevant supply area(s) and indicator(s) for which expertise was used in the development of control measures</i>	<i>For publicly available expertise, provide the citation for the specific source(s) of information used</i>

6. Stakeholder consultation processes

Summarise all stakeholder consultation processes that you have conducted, including information on:

If no stakeholder consultation processes were required or used, state **“N/A, stakeholder consultation not required”**

Supply area	Relevant controlled wood category	List of stakeholder groups invited to participate	Summary of comments received from stakeholders	Description of how stakeholder comments were taken into account	Justification for concluding that the material sourced from the area was low risk

		List all types of stakeholders contacted. E.g. Forest owners/managers, Forest contractors, Representatives of forest workers and forest industries, FSC certificate holders, Local/regional/national/international social NGOs, Forest workers, trade unions, local communities, indigenous and traditional peoples, local/regional/national/international environmental NGOs, FSC-accredited certification bodies, National and state forest agencies, Experts with expertise in controlled wood categories, Research institutions and universities, FSC regional offices/network partners/working groups			

7. Complaints procedure

We encourage stakeholders who have suggestions for improvements, comments, or complaints related to our controlled wood due diligence system to contact [ORGANISATION CONTACT NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS] by mail, email, or phone. We commit to follow up on stakeholder input as soon as we receive it and to provide stakeholders with feedback within 2 weeks.

Provide the organisation's complaints procedure. The procedure must satisfy the requirements of Section 7 of the standard.

Annex

Include all company risk assessments and extended company risk assessments as annexes.